Pyramids Over the Regular 3-Tori

Gordon Williams (with Daniel Pellicer) SIGMAP 2018







We're really sorry.

Well... most of us.



Outline

- Basic definitions
- Representing connection groups as subgroups of wreath products of automorphism groups
- An interesting infinite family of abstract polytopes





Escape Hatch







































The Flag Graph

- Nodes: *Flags* = maximal chains
- Edges: Connected *adjacent* flags, i.e., flags differing by exactly one element.





The Groups of Abstract Polytopes



The Automorphism Group

- An *automorphism* of a polytope P is an inclusion preserving permutation of its faces.
- The automorphisms form a group Aut(P), called the automorphism group of P.
- A polytope P is regular if its automorphism group acts transitively on the flags.
- Induces an automorphism group of the flag graph that preserves the edge colors.





Automorphism Groups of Regular Abstract Polytopes

Known as *String C-*groups.

- Equipped with a privileged set of involutory generators.
- Arise as quotients of string Coxeter groups.
- Must satisfy the *intersection condition*.
- Are an example of an *sggi*, or *string group generated by involutions*.





The Connection Group

- A permutation group on the flags determined by flag adjacency.
- Also an sggi.
- Aut(P) and Con(P) distinct subgroups of the symmetric group on the flags.
 - Isomorphic when P regular



An Alternative Representation for the Connection Group

- k:= # of flag orbits for P
- $\Delta := S_k \ltimes (\operatorname{Aut}(P))^k = S_k \wr_{[k]} \operatorname{Aut}(P)$
- $(\sigma, \alpha)(\tau, \beta) = (\sigma\tau, \varphi_{\tau}(\alpha) \cdot \beta)$ where $\varphi_{\tau}(\alpha) = [\alpha_{\tau(1)}, \alpha_{\tau(2)}, \dots, \alpha_{\tau(n)}]$
- Then Con(P) can be embedded in Δ .
- Strictly speaking, we are working with

 $Norm_{Con(P)}(Stab_{Con(P)}(\Phi))/Stab_{Con(P)}(\Phi)$



Symmetry Type Graph



Generating the Subgroup of Δ

- Pick a spanning tree *T* in the symmetry type graph
- Lifts of *T* are *chunks*
- Automorphisms in representation relate chunks
- e.g.,
 - $l(r_0):=((),[(2,3),(2,3),(1,3)])$
 - $l(r_1):=((1,3),[(),(2,4),()])$
 - $l(r_2):=((1,2),[(),(),(2,4)])$
 - So $\iota(r_1r_2) =$ ((1,3,2),[(2,4),(),()] · [(),(),(2,4)]) =((1,3,2),[(2,4),(),(2,4)])





A Regular Cover for the Truncated Tetrahedron





 $\{6,3\}_{(2,2)}$

(3.6.6)



Minimal Covers

Let Q be an abstract polytope.

A regular abstract polytope P is a *minimal cover* of Q if:

- 1. $P \operatorname{covers} Q$
- 2. for all regular polytopes *R* such that *P* covers *R* and *R* covers *Q*

then P = R.

i.e., if $P \searrow R \searrow Q$, then P minimal $\iff P = R$



Connection Groups and Minimal Covers

If the connection group of Q is a string C-group, then it corresponds to the unique minimal regular cover of Q.

In every studied example, if the connection group of Q is *not* a string C-group, then it has more than one minimal regular cover.





Pyramids Over 3-Tori

We start with the regular tori of type $\{4,4\}_{(n,0)}, \{4,4\}_{(n,n)}, \{6,3\}_{(n,0)}, \{6,3\}_{(n,0)}, \{3,6\}_{(n,n)}.$ Observations and Results:

1. 5 symmetry classes of flags

2. Elements in the normal closure of ^A $(r_0r_1)^3$, $(r_1r_2)^3$, and $(r_2r_3)^3$ fix all flag orbits.

B C

B

C

D

3. Con(*P*) is a string C-group except when $P=Pyr(\{4,4\}_{(n,0)})$.

Proving that if $n \ge 3$ is **odd**, then Con(Pyr({4,4}_(n,0)) is not a string C-group

Proposition: Let S(n) be the set of elements of $C(n)=Con(Pyr(\{4,4\}_{(n,0)})$ that fix all flag orbits. Then $|S(n)\cap C(n)_0\cap C(n)_3|=4j$ for some $j\in\mathbb{N}$.

Also, $|S(n) \cap C(n)_0 \cap C(n)_3| \ge 4$ iff $C(n)_0 \cap C(n)_3 \neq < r_1, r_2 \ge .$

So it suffices to show there is an element of $C(n)_0 \cap C(n)_3$ not in $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$.



- The element $m_3 = r_1 r_0 r_2 r_1 r_0 (r_1 r_2)^3 r_0 r_1 r_2 r_0 r_1 (r_1 r_0)^3$ acts trivially on the pyramidal facets and like a half turn on the base.
- • $\iota(m_3) = ((), [(\rho_1 \rho_2)^2, id, id, id, id]) \in S \cap C(n)_3$
- • $l((r_1r_2)^3)=((), [\rho_1\rho_2, id, id, id, \rho_1\rho_0])$



- So m_3 is not in $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$, since it stabilizes flag orbits and isn't a power or inverse of $(r_1r_2)^3$.
- $Pyr({4,4}_{(n,0)})$ is self dual.
 - The dual element of m_3 is $d_3 \in C(n)_0$ and

 $l(d_3) = ((), [id, id, id, id, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2])$

• Thus $(d_3(\rho_1\rho_2)^6) =$

 $((),[id, id, id, id, (\rho_0\rho_1)^2]) \cdot ((),[(\rho_1\rho_2)^2, id, id, id, (\rho_1\rho_0)^2])$ $=((),[(\rho_1\rho_2)^2, id, id, id, id]) = \iota(m_3) \in C(n)_0.$

• So m_3 is in $C(n)_0 \cap C(n)_3$, but not in $\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$, as desired.



Connections and Questions

Monson and Schulte, 2014: Found two minimal regular covers of $Pyr(\{4,4\}_{(3,0)})$ of order $2^{13}3^{11}5$.

We found a new one of order $2^{16}3^{11}5$ — the unique minimal regular cover of Pyr($\{4,4\}_{(6,0)}$).

- How many more are there?
- What sizes are possible?
- And for $Pyr(\{4,4\}_{(2k+1,0)})$?



Relationship to Connection Group

Does there exist Q s.t. Con(Q) is not a string C-group, but the number of minimal regular covers is finite?

Conjecture: If the connection group of a polytope is not a string C-group, then it has infinitely many minimal regular covers.



¡Gracias por su atención!

COME to UAF for graduate studies!

