# SPACES IN WHICH EVERY DENSE SUBSET IS BAIRE

## S. GARCÍA-FERREIRA, A. GARCÍA-MÁYNEZ AND M. HRUSAK

ABSTRACT. We deal with several types of spaces in which every dense subspace is Baire (*D*-Baire spaces). Baire almost *P*-spaces and open-hereditarily irresolvable Baire spaces are example of *D*- spaces. We give a characterization of *D*-Baire spaces and characterize a particular class of them. We give an example of a *D*-Baire space whose square is not Baire.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

There is a wide variety of topological spaces in which every dense subset is Baire. The most simple of them are those spaces which have a discrete open dense subset, like locally compact Hausdorff extensions of discrete spaces. Baire almost P-spaces are also D-Baire and the open-hereditarily irresolvable Baire spaces form a a class of D-spaces (it is known that irresolvable D-Baire spaces without isolates points exist only in some models of set theory (see [17] and [18])). Our purpose of this paper is to give several characterizations of D-Baire spaces and open-hereditarily irresolvable Baire spaces. We find some sufficient conditions on D-Baire spaces to be metrizable or to have a discrete dense subspace. We finally explore some invariance properties under finite products or under continuous open images.

### 2. Definitions and preliminary results

Our spaces will be  $T_3$ . We recall the reader some basic definitions and after that we list five equivalent known definitions of Baire spaces (for the proofs we referred the reader to [12] which offers a complete survey on Baire spaces).

 $A \subseteq X$  is nowhere dense (respect to X) if  $\operatorname{int} A^- = \emptyset$ . A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is a meager set (or of the first category) in X if A is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. A space is called *Baire* if the intersection of countably many open dense subsets of the space is dense.

**Proposition 2.1.** The following properties of a topological space X are equivalent:

- (1) X is a Baire space.
- (2) For every countable closed cover  $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of X, the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{int} H_n$  is dense in X.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54E52, 54F65. Secondary 54G99.

Key words and phrases. D-Baire spaces, D'-Baire spaces, D''-Baire spaces, Baire spaces, almost P-spaces, open-hereditarily irresolvable.

Research of the first named author was supported by CONACYT grant no. 81368-F and PAPIIT grant no. IN-101508, and the research of the third author was supported by PAPIIT no. IN-101608.

### S. GARCÍA-FERREIRA, A. GARCÍA-MÁYNEZ AND M. HRUSAK

- (3) For every sequence  $V_1, V_2, \ldots$  of open sets with the same closure K, we
  - have  $K = \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n\right)^-$ .
- (4) Every meager  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X is nowhere dense.
- (5) Every meager set has empty interior.

Every topological space which has a dense Baire subspace is evidently a Baire space. The converse is not true: for instance, the real line is a Baire space but the subspace of rationals is not. A useful necessary and sufficiente condition for a dense subset A of a Baire space to be Baire is given in the next theorem of J. M. Aarts and D. J. Lutzer [1] (for a proof see [12, Th. 1.24]):

**Theorem 2.2.** Let X be a Baire space and let  $A \subseteq X$  be dense. Then A is a Baire space if and only if every  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X contained in  $X \setminus A$  is nowhere dense.

For the sake of completeness, we are going to give a proof of this theorem:

**Lemma 2.3.** Let X be a Baire space. If  $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$  is a nonempty nowhere dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X, where  $V_n$  is an open subset of X for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for every nonempty open subset V of X there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $int[(X \setminus V_n) \cap (V \setminus G^-)] \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let V be a nonempty open subset of X. Then,  $V \setminus G^-$  is a nonempty open subset of X; hence,  $V \setminus G^-$  is also Baire. Since  $V \setminus G^- \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X \setminus V_n$  and each  $X \setminus V_n$  is a closed subset of X, by the third clause of Proposition 2.1, there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $int[(X \setminus V_n) \cap (V \setminus G^-)] \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Necessity. Let  $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ , where  $V_n$  is an open subset of X for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is contained in  $X \setminus A$ . Then,  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X \setminus V_n$ . In virtue of Proposition 2.1,  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} int_A (A \cap (X \setminus V_n))$  is dense in A. Suppose that  $intG^- \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\emptyset \neq intG^- \cap int_A (A \cap (X \setminus V_m))$ . On the other hand, we know that  $G^- \subseteq V_m^- = (V_m \cap A)^-$ . Hence,

 $\emptyset \neq intG^{-} \cap int_{A}(A \cap (X \setminus V_{m})) \subseteq (V_{m} \cap A)^{-} \cap A = cl_{A}(V_{m} \cap A)$ 

which implies that  $intG^{-} \cap int_A(A \cap (X \setminus V_m)) \cap V_m \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , but this is impossible.

Sufficiency. Assume that A is no Baire. According to Proposition 2.1, there is a countable closed cover  $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of A such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} int_A H_n$  is not dense in A. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose a closed subset  $C_n$  of X such that  $H_n = A \cap C_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X \setminus C_n)$  which is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X contained in  $X \setminus A$ . If  $G = \emptyset$ , then  $\{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  would be a closed cover of X and, by Proposition 2.1, then  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} intC_n$  would be dense in X which is not possible. So,  $G \neq \emptyset$ . Choose an nonempty open subset V of X such that  $V \cap A \cap int_A H_n = \emptyset$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 2.3, we can find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $int[C_n \cap (V \setminus G^-)] \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\emptyset \neq int[C_n \cap (V \setminus G^-)] \cap A \subseteq int_A(C_n \cap A) \cap V \cap A \subseteq int_A H_n \cap V \cap A$ , but this is a contradiction. Thus, A is Baire.  $\Box$ 

In this paper, we shall study the following class of Baire spaces inspired in Theorem 2.2.

**Definition 2.4.** We say a space X is *D*-*Baire* if every dense subspace of X is Baire.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 is the following:

**Corollary 2.5.** Let X be a Baire space. Then, X is D-Baire if and only if every  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X with empty interior is nowhere dense.

A further corollary will be obtained after the next definition.

Following R. Levy [20], we say that a topological space X is an *almost P-space* if every non-empty  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X has a non-empty interior.

Corollary 2.6. Every Baire almost P-space is D-Baire.

The following results are taken from [20]:

**Theorem 2.7.** a) If X is locally compact and realcompact, then  $\beta X \setminus X$  is almost *P*-space.

b) A Tychonoff space X is almost P-space if and only if its Hewitt realcompactification vX is almost P-space.

c) If X is a Tychonoff space, then  $\beta X$  is almost P-space if and only if X is pseudocompact and almost P-space.

**Corollary 2.8.** If X is an infinite discrete space whose cardinality is not Ulam measurable, then  $\beta X \setminus X$  is D-Baire and  $\beta X$  is not almost P-space.

# 3. *D*-BAIRE SPACES

To start this section we give several characterizations of *D*-Baire spaces. First, we need to recall the definition of the  $\sigma$ -algebra *PB*.

Given a space X, the class PB(X) is the  $\sigma$ -algebra in X generated by all open sets and all nowhere dense sets. In [19] it is proved that  $A \subseteq X$  belongs to the class PB(X) if and only if A may be expressed in the form  $A = L \cup D$ , where L is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and D is meager. Obviously, the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets is contained in the class PB(X).

**Theorem 3.1.** The following seven conditions on a space X are equivalent:

- (1) X is D-Baire.
- (2) X is Baire and every  $G_{\delta}$ -set with empty interior is nowhere dense.
- (3) Every meager subset  $A \subseteq X$  is nowhere dense.
- (4) X is Baire and every dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set has dense interior.
- (5) X is Baire and every set in the class PB(X) with empty interior is nowhere dense.
- (6) X is Baire and every Borel set with empty interior is nowhere dense.
- (7) X is Baire and the union of a  $G_{\delta}$ -set with empty interior and a meager set of X is nowhere dense.

*Proof.*  $(1) \iff (2)$ . This es Corollary 2.5.

(2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3). Let  $A \subseteq X$  be a meager set. Assume  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  where  $H_n$  is nowhere dense for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $L = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n^- = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} X \setminus H_n^-$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in X and L is dense in X because its complement is a meager set and X is Baire. Let V = int L. The set L - V clearly has empty interior. Hence,  $L - V^-$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set with empty interior, by hypothesis,  $L - V^-$  is nowhere dense. Also  $L \cap \text{Fr } V$  is a nowhere dense set. Therefore,  $L - V = (L - V^{-}) \cup (L \cap \operatorname{Fr} V)$  is a nowhere dense set as well. On the other hand,

$$X \setminus V = (L \setminus V) \cup (X \setminus L) = (L \setminus V) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n^{-1}$$

is a meager set. Since X is Baire,

$$\emptyset = \operatorname{int}(X \setminus V) = X \setminus V^{-}.$$

Therefore,  $V^- = X$  and  $A \subseteq X \setminus V = \operatorname{Fr} V$  is nowhere dense.

(3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (4). It follows from Proposition 2.1 that X is a Baire space. Let  $L \subseteq X$  be a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X. Since  $X \setminus L$  is a meager set, the hypothesis implies that  $X \setminus L$  is nowhere dense, i.e.  $(X \setminus L)^-$  has empty interior. Therefore,  $V = X \setminus (X \setminus L)^- = \operatorname{int} L$ is an open dense subspace of X.

(4)  $\implies$  (2). Let G be a  $G_{\delta}$ -set with empty. First observe that int  $G^{-} \subseteq (G^{-} \setminus G)^{-}$ . Since  $G^{-} \setminus G$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set with empty interior,  $X \setminus (G^{-} \setminus G)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X. By assumption, int  $(X \setminus (G^{-} \setminus G))$  is also dense in X. That is,  $X \setminus (G^{-} \setminus G)^{-}$  is dense in X. Hence, int  $(G^{-} \setminus G)^{-} = \emptyset$  and so int  $G^{-} = \emptyset$ .

 $(4) \Longrightarrow (5)$ . We have already established above the equivalence among the clauses (1), (2), (3) and (4). The fifth clause follows directly from the properties of the class PB(X) (see [19]) and the clauses (2) and (3).

(5)  $\implies$  (6). This implication is obvious because the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets is contained in the class PB(X).

 $(6) \Longrightarrow (1)$ . It is enough to observe that  $(6) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (1)$ .

 $(1) \implies (7)$ . We know the first six statements are equivalent on to each other. Thus clause (7) follows directly from clauses (2) and (3).

 $(7) \Longrightarrow (1)$ . This is a consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.5.

**Corollary 3.2.** Every open subset of a D-Baire space is also D-Baire.

Let us state some particular classes of *D*-Baire spaces.

**Definition 3.3.** Let X be a Baire space.

- (1) X is said to be D'-Baire if every set with empty interior is nowhere dense.
- (2) We say that X is D''-Baire if X has a dense discrete subspace.

As our spaces are  $T_1$ , it is evident that in the definition of D''-space we may say that the space contains a dense subset of isolated points, and also we can removed the condition Baire in the definition of D''-Baire space. Thus, we have directly that every D''-Baire space is D'-Baire and every D'-Baire space is D-Baire. The simplest examples of non-discrete D''-space are those whose have only one nonisolated point, and the Stone-Čech compactifications of discrete spaces are also D''-Baire.

We give now equivalent formulations for D'-Baire spaces.

For brevity, we say that  $A \subseteq X$  is a *boundary set* if int  $A = \emptyset$  and let us consider the following subsets of a space X.

 $B_1 = \{A \subseteq X \mid \text{int } A^- = \emptyset\}, B_2 = \{A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ is a meager set in } X\}$ 

and

$$B_3 = \{ A \subseteq X \mid \text{int } A = \emptyset \}.$$

Obviously  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  and we also have that X is a Baire space iff  $B_2 \subseteq B_3$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** In a topological space X, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) X is D'-Baire.
- (2) X is Baire and for each dense set  $A \subseteq X$ , int A is also dense in X.
- (3) X is Baire and each dense set contains a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set.
- (4) The concepts boundary set, nowhere dense set and meager set are equivalent.
- (5) If  $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable family of dense subsets of X, then  $\bigcap_{n=1} H_n$

is also dense in X.

- (6) X is Baire and each finite intersection of dense sets in X is also dense in X.
- (7) The family of all boundary subsets of X is a  $\sigma$ -ideal.

*Proof.* The implications  $(2) \implies (3)$  and  $(5) \implies (6)$  and the equivalence  $(4) \implies (4)$  are obvious.

(1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2). Let  $A \subseteq X$  be dense. As  $X \setminus A$  has empty interior, by hypothesis,  $X \setminus A$  is nowhere dense. Therefore, int  $A = X \setminus (X \setminus A)^-$  is an open dense subset of X.

 $(3) \Longrightarrow (4)$ . We only have to prove  $B_3 \subseteq B_1$ . Indeed, if  $L \subseteq X$  has empty interior,  $X \setminus L$  is dense in X and, by hypothesis, there exists a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set  $H \subseteq X \setminus L$ . Therefore,  $X \setminus H$  is an  $F_{\delta}$ -set with empty interior containing L.  $X \setminus H$  and L are then meager sets and  $B_2 = B_3$ . The hypothesis implies also that X is D-Baire, since if  $D \subseteq X$  is dense in X and C is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X disjoint from D, then  $C \subseteq X \setminus A$  where A is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X contained in D. Therefore,  $X \setminus A$  and C are meager sets. Being a meager  $G_{\delta}$ -set in a Baire space, C is nowhere dense. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, D is a Baire subspace of X and X is D-Baire. By Theorem 3.1,  $B_1 = B_2$  and hence conclude that  $B_3 = B_2 = B_1$ .

(4)  $\implies$  (5). Let  $\{H_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable family of dense subsets of X. Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}, X \setminus H_n$  has empty interior and, by hypothesis,  $X \setminus H_n$  is a meager set. Then

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( X \setminus H_n \right) = X \setminus \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$$

is also a meager set and, by hypothesis, it has empty interior. Therefore,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  is dense in X

dense in X.

(6)  $\implies$  (1). Let  $A \subseteq X$  be a set with empty interior and let  $L = X \setminus A$ . Define  $V = \operatorname{int} L$ . Since  $L \setminus V$  is a set with empty interior, the set  $X \setminus (L \setminus V) = V \cup A$  is dense in X.  $L = X \setminus A$  is also dense in X. Therefore, by hypothesis,  $(V \cup A) \cap (X \setminus A) = V$  is dense in X. Hence,  $X \setminus V$  is a nowhere dense set. Since  $A \subseteq X \setminus V$ , we deduce that A is also a nowhere dense set and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

The condition stated in clause (7) of Theorem 3.4 was considered in [21].

Corollary 3.5. Every D'-Baire space is D-Baire.

*Proof.* Use condition (3) from 3.1 and condition (4) in 3.4.

By using the equality between two of the sets  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  and  $B_3$ , we obtain that X is a D-space iff  $B_1 = B_2$  (Theorem 3.1) and:

**Corollary 3.6.** For a space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is D'-Baire.
- (2) Every boundary set is meager.
- (3) Every boundary set is nowhere dense.

**Corollary 3.7.** Every nonempty open subset of a D'-Baire space is also D'-Baire.

*Proof.* Assume that X is a D'-Baire space and let  $U \subseteq X$  be open and nonempty. It is known that U is also a Baire space (see [7, Ex. 3.9.J (a)]). Suppose that A is a dense subset of U. Since  $A \cup (X \setminus U)$  is dense in X, by Theorem 3.4, int  $(A \cup (X \setminus U))$  is also dense in X. Let  $\emptyset \neq V \subseteq U$ . Then,  $\emptyset \neq V \cap$  int  $(A \cup (X \setminus U))$  and it is clear that  $V \cap$  int  $(A \cup (X \setminus U)) \subseteq A$ . This implies that  $V \cap$  int  $A \neq \emptyset$ . This shows that int A is a dense subset of U. According to Theorem 3.4, U is D'-Baire.

Following E. Hewitt [13] we say that a topological crowded<sup>1</sup> space X is resolvable if X has a dense subspace D whose complement  $X \setminus D$  is also dense in X. A space that cannot be split in two disjoint dense subsets is called *irresolvable*<sup>2</sup>. Most of the spaces which we handle are resolvable. For example, it is shown in [13] that all metric crowded spaces and all compact crowded spaces are resolvable (maximally resolvable). In a more general setting, E. G. Pytke'ev [22] showed that every crowded k-space is resolvable. For more examples of resolvable spaces the reader is referred to [5]. However, we may find multiple examples of irresolvable spaces in the literature (see for instance [6], [8] and [13]).

A space X is called *open-hereditarily irresolvable* if every open subset of X is irresolvable. In the following corollary, we shall prove that the D'-spaces are precisely the open-hereditarily irresolvable Baire spaces. The proof of the next lemma is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.8. In a topological space X, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) Every subset of X with empty interior is nowhere dense.
- (2) X is open-hereditarily irresolvable.

The following statement is a direct application of Theorem 3.4 and the previous lemma.

**Corollary 3.9.** A space X is D'-Baire iff X is Baire and open-hereditarily irresolvable.

Thus, we have that every D'-space must be irresolvable. Hence, by Corollary 2.8,  $\beta \mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$  is *D*-Baire and, by Pytke'ev's Theorem, we obtain that  $\beta \mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$  cannot be D'-Baire. Corollary 3.9 is a particular case of Proposition 1.2 from [17] and the implication  $(1) \implies (2)$  of Theorem 3.4 lies, in a more general form, in [13].

It is shown in [18] (see also [17]) that if there is a Baire irresolvable crowded space, then there is a measurable cardinal in the inner model. Hence, if V = L, then every Baire space without isolated points is resolvable. Using this assertion and Corollary 3.9, we can prove that every D'-Baire space is D''-Baire in a model of ZFC where V = L.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A space without isolated points is called *crowded*.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ A space with at least one isolated points cannot be divided in two disjoint dense subset; hence, we may omit the condition crowded in the definition of irresolvable space.

**Theorem 3.10.** Under the assumption of V = L, the set of isolated points of a D'-Baire space is dense in the space. Thus, V = L implies that a space is D'-Baire iff it is D''-Baire.

*Proof.* Assume V = L. As we pointed above every Baire space without isolated points must be resolvable. Hence and from Corollary 3.9 we must have that every nonempty open subset of X has an isolated point. Therefore, X contains a dense discrete subset.

S. Shelah [23] showed the consistency (modulo reasonably large cardinals) of the existence of a topological Baire irresolvable space with no isolated points of size  $\omega_1$ . It is not hard to see that every Baire irresolvable crowded space must contain a nonempty open subset open-hereditarily irresolvable. Since every open subset of a Baire space is also Baire, Shelah's example contains a D'-Baire crowded subspace which cannot be D''-Baire. So, the existence of a D'-Baire space which is not D''-Baire is undecidable in ZFC.

Next, we state a sufficient condition on a *D*-Baire space to be D''-Baire.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let X be a crowded space. If X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $\pi$ -base<sup>3</sup>, then X has a dense meager subset.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{B}} \mathcal{B}_n$  be a  $\pi$ -base of X such that each family  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is locally finite. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , enumerate  $\mathcal{B}_n$  as  $\{B_i^n : i \in I_n\}$  and choose  $x_i^n \in B_i^n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $i \in I_n$ . Now, we define  $N_n = \{x_i^n : i \in I_n\}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $N_n$  is discrete for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since X is crowded, we must have that  $N_n$  is nowhere dense in X for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $N = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N_n$  is meager and dense.  $\Box$ 

The following results follows directly from the previous lemma.

**Theorem 3.12.** Every D-Baire space with a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $\pi$ -base is a D''-Baire space.

*Proof.* Suppose that X has a nonempty set U without isolated points. It is evident that U also has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $\pi$ -base and, by Corollary, U is a D-Baire crowded space. So, by Lemma 3.11, U has a dense meager subset which contradicts Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.13. Every metric D-Baire space is D''-Baire.

Proof. Suppose that the set of isolated points of X is not dense. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\{B(d, \frac{1}{n+1}) : d \in D_n\}^4$  be a maximal pairwise disjoint family whose elements do not contain any isolated point of X. Put  $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i \in D_n} B(d, \frac{1}{n+1})$ . Since U is an open subset of X, by Corollary 3, U is a metric D-Baire crowded space. Clearly U has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite  $\pi$ -base. By Theorem 3.12, U is a D"-Baire space and so contains a dense subset of isolated points which is a contradiction to the fact that U does not contain any isolated point of X.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let X be a D-Baire space. If there exists a dense set  $L \subseteq X$  having a  $\sigma$ -discrete network, then X is D''-Baire. In particular, a D-Baire, separable space is D''-Baire.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A family  $\mathcal{B}$  of nonempty open subsets of a space X is a  $\pi$ -base if every nonempty open subset of X contains an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

 $<sup>{}^{4}</sup>B(x,\epsilon)$  denotes the ball with center x and radio  $\epsilon$  in a metric space.

*Proof.* We may suppose, without loss of generality, that L = X. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup \mathcal{H}_n$ 

be a network of X, where each family  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is discrete (with respect to X). Choosing a point in each member of  $\mathcal{H}$ , we may find a dense set  $D \subseteq X$  which is a countable union of closed discrete sets  $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $E_n = D_n - X^a$  and  $F_n = D_n \cap X^a$ .

The set  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is open and discrete in X and each set  $F_n$  is nowhere dense. Hence  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is a meager set. Since X is D-Baire, the set F is nowhere dense

(see condition 3) in 3.1). Since  $D = E \cup F$ , we deduce  $X = E^- \cup F^-$ . Necessarily  $E^- = X$ , because if  $V = X \setminus E^- \neq \emptyset$ , the open set V would be contained in  $F^-$ , contradicting the fact that F is nowhere dense. Therefore, E is an open discrete dense subspace of X and X is D''-Baire.  $\square$ 

We give below a sufficient condition on a D'-Baire space to be D''-Baire. We give first a definition:

The *derived sets* of a space X are defined as follows:

$$X^{(0)} = X$$
$$X^{(1)} = X^{a}$$

Assuming  $X^{(\alpha)}$  is already defined for an ordinal number  $\alpha$ , we define  $X^{(\alpha+1)}$  as the set of limit points of  $X^{(\alpha)}$ . If  $\alpha$  is an infinite limit ordinal and if  $X^{(\gamma)}$  is already defined for each  $\gamma < \alpha$ , we set:

$$X^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\gamma < \alpha} X^{(\gamma)}$$

Therefore, there exists a minimum ordinal number  $\beta$  such that  $X^{(\beta)}$  is crowded or empty, i.e., such that  $X^{(\beta)} = X^{(\beta+1)}$ . This set  $X^{(\beta)}$  is called the *last derived set* of X.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let X be a D'-Baire space whose last derived set  $X^{(\beta)}$  is resolvable. Then X is D''-Baire. In fact,  $X \setminus X^a$  is dense in X.

*Proof.* Let  $L \subseteq X^{(\beta)}$  be such that  $L^- = (X^{(\beta)} - L)^- = X^{(\beta)}$ . Clearly  $D = \bigcup_{0 \le \alpha < \beta} \left( X^{(\alpha)} - X^{(\alpha+1)} \right) \cup L$ 

is dense in X. Because X is D'-Baire, int D is also dense in X.

But  $(X \setminus \operatorname{int} D)^- = (X^{(\beta)} - L)^- = X^{(\beta)}$ . Therefore,  $\operatorname{int} D = X \setminus X^{(\beta)} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (X^{(\alpha)} - X^{(\alpha+1)})$ . We prove  $X \setminus X^{(1)}$  is dense in  $\operatorname{int} D$  and, hence, it is

dense in X. Suppose on the contrary, there exists a point

$$p \in (\operatorname{int} D) \cap \left[ X \setminus (X \setminus X^{(1)})^{-} \right]$$

Therefore, there exists an open set  $W \subseteq X$  such that

$$p \in W \subseteq \operatorname{int} D$$
 and  $W \cap (X \setminus X^{(1)})^- = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be the minimum ordinal such that

$$W \cap \left( X^{(\alpha)} - X^{(\alpha+1)} \right) \neq \emptyset$$

and select a point  $q \in W \cap (X^{(\alpha)} - X^{(\alpha+1)})$ . Let T be an open set in X such that  $T \cap X^{(\alpha)} = \{q\}$ . Therefore,  $W \cap T = \{q\}$  and  $q \in X \setminus X^{(1)}$ , a contradiction. Hence, the discrete set  $X \setminus X^{(1)}$  is dense in X and X is D''-Baire.

Next, let us introduce a property that a D-space needs to be a D'-space.

**Definition 3.16.** A space X is called PB if  $PB(X) = \mathcal{P}(X)^5$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** A space X is D'-Baire iff X is PB and D-Baire.

*Proof.* Necessity. Assume that X is D'-Baire. By Corollary 3.5, X is D-Baire. Let  $A \subseteq X$ . We know that  $A = \operatorname{int} A \cup (A \setminus \operatorname{int} A)$ . Clearly int A is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and since  $(A \setminus \operatorname{int} A)$  has empty interior,  $(A \setminus \operatorname{int} A)$  is nowhere dense. Then,  $A \in PB(X)$ .

Sufficiency. Assume that  $A \subseteq X$  has empty interior. By assumption,  $A = G \cup M$ , where G is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set and M is meager. Since X is a D-space, by Theorem 3.1, we have that G is nowhere dense. So, A is nowhere dense. Therefore, X is a D'-space.

We now consider the following class of Baire spaces.

**Definition 3.18.** A space X is called *extremally Baire* if the union of a boundary  $G_{\delta}$ -set and a meager set is boundary.

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that every extremally Baire space is Baire and from Theorem 3.1 that every *D*-Baire space is extremally Baire.

**Theorem 3.19.** If X is PB and extremally Baire, then X is D-Baire.

Proof. Let D be a dense subset of X. By hypothesis,  $D = G_0 \cup M_0$  and  $X \setminus D = G_1 \cup M_1$ , where  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  are a  $G_{\delta}$ -sets and  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are meager. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $G_0 \cap M_0 = G_1 \cap M_1 = \emptyset$ . We claim that  $G_0$  is a dense subset of  $G_0 \cup G_1$ . Indeed, suppose that there is a nonempty open subset V of X such that  $(G_0 \cup G_1) \cap V \subseteq G_1$ . It is clear that  $V \cap G_1$  is a boundary  $G_{\delta}$ -set and since X is extremally Baire, we must have that  $(V \cap G_1) \cup (V \cap M_0) \cup (V \cap M_1) = V$  is a boundary set which is a contradiction. Thus,  $G_0$  is a dense subset of  $G_0 \cup G_1$ . But, it is not hard to see that  $G_0 \cup G_1$  is a dense subset of X. So,  $G_0$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set of X and since X is a Baire space, we have that  $G_0$  is also Baire. Therefore, D is also a Baire space.

#### 4. Real-valued functions

Problem 109 of the Scottish Book posed by M. Katětov is the following: Is there a crowded space on which every real-valued function is continuous at some point? In a very nice paper, V. I. Malykhin [21] prove that there is a irresolvable Baire crowded space iff there is a space on which every real-valued function is continuous at some point. Years later, it was shown in [10] that a Baire space Xis open-hereditarily irresolvable iff every real-valued function on X has a dense set of points of continuity. In connection with these results, R. Bolstein [3] introduced the notion of almost-resolvability: A space is called *almost resolvable* if it is the

 $<sup>{}^{5}\</sup>mathcal{P}(X)$  denotes the family of all subsets of a set X

countable union of boundary sets (it is clear that every resolvable space is almost resolvable). It is shown in [3] and [10] that a space X is almost resolvable iff one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (1) X admits an everywhere discontinuous real-valued function with countable range.
- (2) X admits an everywhere discontinuous real-valued function.

V. I. Malykhin [21] found a model of ZFC in which every topological space is almost resolvable, and in the model of Shelah [23] there is a crowded Baire space which is not almost resolvable. As a particular case of Proposition 1.2 from [17] is the next result.

## **Proposition 4.1.** For every space X the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) X is D'-Baire.
- (2) For every space Y of countable weight and for every function  $f: X \to Y$  the set of points of continuity of f contains a dense open set.

From Corollary 3.7 and the previous proposition we have:

**Corollary 4.2.** A crowded space X is D'-Baire iff X does not contain a nonempty almost resolvable open subset.

It is pointed out in [2] that a space X is D''-Baire iff there is a dense subset D of X such that every function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f|_D$  is continuous. In the paper, [2], the D''-Baire spaces are called UB-spaces.

## 5. Invariance properties

It is a well known fact that the Baire property is invariant under open continuous maps. As far as the invariance under continuous maps is concerned, we can prove:

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $\varphi \colon X \to Y$  be open, continuous and onto. Then

- i) If X is D-Baire, Y is also D-Baire.
- ii) If X is almost P-space, Y is also almost P-space.
- iii) If X is D'-Baire, Y is also D'-Baire.
- iv) If X is D''-Baire, Y is also D''-Baire.

*Proof.* i). Let  $E \subseteq Y$  be a dense subset. Then  $D = \varphi^{-1}(E)$  is dense in X. By hypothesis, D is a Baire space. Since  $\varphi \mid D: D \to E$  is continuous, open and surjective, we deduce that E is also a Baire space.

*ii*). Let  $L \supseteq Y$  be a non-empty  $G_{\delta}$ -set. Since  $\varphi^{-1}(L)$  is also a non-empty  $G_{\delta}$ -set, we have  $\operatorname{int} \varphi^{-1}(L) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\operatorname{int} L \supseteq \varphi(\operatorname{int} \varphi^{-1}(L)) \neq \emptyset$  and Y is an almost P-space.

*iii*). Let  $E \subseteq Y$  be a dense subset of Y. Then  $D = \varphi^{-1}(E)$  is dense in X. Since X is D'-Baire, int D is dense in X. Therefore,  $\varphi(\operatorname{int} D)$  is dense in Y. But  $\varphi(\operatorname{int} D) \subseteq E$ . Hence, int E is dense in Y and Y is a D'-Baire space.

iv). Let  $D \subseteq X$  be open, discrete and dense in X. To prove  $\varphi(D)$  is discrete, select a point  $x \in D$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is an open set in X contained in D. Therefore  $\{\varphi(x)\}$  is an open set in Y contained in  $\varphi(D)$  and  $\varphi(D)$  is discrete. Therefore, Y is a D''-Baire space.

It is also obvious that the almost P-space and the D''-Baire properties are preserved under finite products. There are many examples in the literature of Baire spaces (even metrizable Baire spaces) whose square is not Baire (see [4] and [9]). On the other hand, there exist several topological properties P which imply the Baire property and are invariant under arbitrary products: For instance, either P = pseudocompleteness (see [1]) or P = weak pseudocompactness (see [11]).

We exhibit next a D-Baire space X whose square is not Baire. Obviously X cannot be an almost P-space.

**Example 5.2.** To construct our example we need some basic notions from Set Theory that the reader may find them in text books like [16] and [14]. We consider the first uncountable ordinal number  $\omega_1$  equipped with the order topology. Let Sbe a stationary subset of  $\omega_1$ . Then, we define  $X_S$  as the set of all compact subsets of S. For  $A \in X_S$ , we let let  $con(A) = \{B \in X_S : A \subseteq B \text{ and } max(A) < min(B \setminus A)\}$ , that is con(A) is the set of all end-extensions of A. It is clear that if  $A, B \in X_S$ and  $con(A) \cap con(B) \neq \emptyset$ , then either A is an end-extension of B or B is an endextension of A. The topology on  $X_S$  is the topology generated by all cones and their complements. Obviously, by definition,  $X_S$  is zero dimensional and Hausdorff.

**Claim 1.** Let  $D \subseteq X_S$ . Then, D contains dense open subset of  $X_S$  if and only if for every A in  $X_S$  there is  $B \in con(A)$  such that  $con(B) \subseteq D$ .

**Proof of Claim 1.** It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{C} = \{con(A) : A \in X_S\}$  is a base for the topology of  $X_S$ . Indeed, suppose that  $A \in X_S \setminus con(B)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $con(A) \cap con(B) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, we must have that Bis a proper end-extension of A and so  $con(B) \subseteq con(A)$ . Let  $\gamma = min(S \setminus max(B))$ . Then,  $A \in con(A \cup \{\gamma\}) \subseteq X_S \setminus con(B)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a base for  $X_S$ .

Claim 2. The intersection of countably many dense open sets contains a dense open set.

**Proof of Claim 2.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  take a dense open subset  $D_n$  of  $X_S$ . Fix  $A \in X_S$ . We need to find an end-extension B of A so that  $con(B) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ . In fact, let M be a countable elementary submodel such that  $S, D_i \in M$  and  $\gamma = M \cap \omega_1$  is in S. Choose an increasing sequence of ordinals  $\gamma_n$  converging to  $\gamma$ . Recursively construct an increasing sequence  $B_n$  of elements of  $X_S \cap M$  so that:

- 1)  $B_0 \in con(A)$ ,
- 2)  $B_{n+1} \in con(B_n)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- 3)  $\gamma_n \leq max(B_n)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- 4)  $con(B_n) \subseteq D_n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The construction of the  $B_n$ 's follows directly from Claim 1 using the fact that each  $D_n$  is dense open in  $X_S$  and M knows it. Put  $B = (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n) \cup \{\gamma\}$ . As  $\gamma \in S$  and  $\gamma_n \nearrow \gamma$ ,  $B \in X_S$  and  $con(B) \subseteq con(B_n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Another proof without using elementary submodels can be achieved by proving that the set

 $C = \{\gamma < \omega_1 : \text{ there is a sequence } (B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } X_S \text{ such that:} \}$ 

1)  $A \in con(B_0)$ ,

2)  $B_{n+1} \in con(B_n)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

- 3)  $con(B_n) \subseteq D_n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- 4)  $(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n) \cup \{\gamma\} \in X_S\}$

is closed and unbounded in  $\omega_1$ .

Thus, according to Proposition 2.1, Theorem 3.1 and Claim 2, the space  $X_S$  is *D*-Baire.

**Claim 3.** If S and T are disjoint stationary subsets of  $\omega_1$ , then  $X_S \times X_T$  is not Baire.

**Proof of Claim 3**. For  $A \in X_S$  and  $B \in X_T$  we let

 $osc(A, B) = |\{\alpha \in A \cup B : \alpha \in A \text{ iff } min((A \cup B) \setminus \alpha) \in B\}|;$ 

That is, osc(A, B) is the number of "changes" from A to B and vice-versa. Given  $A \in X_S$  and  $B \in X_T$ , osc(A, B) is finite. Indeed, if  $\alpha_n$  is an alternating element of A and B, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

 $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{\min((A \cup B) \setminus \alpha_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in A \cap B$ 

since both sets are compact, but this is impossible since S and T are disjoint. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $E_n = \{(A, B) \in X_S \times X_T : osc(A, B) \ge n\}$ . Is clear that each pair of elements  $A \in X_S$  and  $B \in X_T$  can extended to  $A' \in X_S$  and  $B' \in X_T$ by alternating members of S and T, respectively, making the osc(A', B') as large as desired. Thus, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $(A, B) \in X_S \times X_T$ , we can find  $(A', B') \in X_S \times X_T$  so that  $A' \in con(A), B' \in con(B)$  and  $cone(A') \times cone(B') \subseteq$  $E_n$ . Therefore,  $E_n$  is a dense open subset of  $X_S \times X_T$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since for every  $A \in X_S$  and  $B \in X_T osc(A, B)$  is finite, we must have that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \emptyset$ . This shows that the product  $X_S \times X_T$  cannot be Baire. Thus, our space is the topological sum  $X = X_S \sqcup X_T$  where S and T are disjoint stationary subsets of  $\omega_1$ . We have that X is D-Baire but  $X \times X$  is not Baire.

We end this section with the following question.

**Question 5.3.** Is there a D'-Baire space whose square is not Baire in some model of ZFC?

#### References

- J. M. Aarts and D. J. Lutzer. Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 48:1–10, 1973.
- [2] M. R. Ahmadi Zand, A. R. Aliabad and M. Namdari, On strongly blumerg spaces. Manuscrip.
- [3] R. Bolstein. Sets of points of discontinuity. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38:193–197, 1973.
- [4] P. Cohen. Product of Baire spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55:119-124, 1976.
- [5] W. W. Comfort and S. Garcia-Ferreira. Resolvability: A selective survey and some new results. *Topology Appl.* 74:149–167, 1996.
- [6] F. K. van Douwen. Applications of maximal topologies. Topology Appl. 51:125–139, 1993.
- [7] R. Engelking. General topology. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, 1989.
- [8] L. Feng, and S. Garcia-Ferreira. Some examples of MI-spaces and of SI-spaces. *Topology Proc.* 24: 153–164, 1999.
- [9] W. G. Fleissner and K. Kunnen. Barely Baire spaces. Fund. Math. 101:229-240, 1978.
- [10] J. Foran and P. Liebnitz. A characterization of almost resolvable spaces. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 40:136–141, 1991.
- [11] S. García-Ferreira and A. García-Máynez, A. Szymanski and F. Tall. On weaklypseudocompact spaces. *Houston J. Math.* 20:145–159, 1994.
- [12] R. C. Haworth and R. A. McCoy. Baire Spaces. Dissertationes Mathematicae Vol. 141, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, 1977.
- [13] E. Hewitt. A problem of set-theoretic topology. Duke Math. J. 10:309–333, 1943.
- [14] T. Jech. Set Theory. Third millennium edition, Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [15] K. Koratowski. Topology. Vol. I, Academic Press, 1966.
- [16] K. Kunen. Set Theory: An Introduction to Independence Proofs. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics Vol. 102, North-Holland, 1983.
- [17] K. Kunen, A. Szymanski and F. Tall. Baire irresolvable spaces and ideal theory. Ann. Math. Sil. 14:98–107, 1986.

- [18] K. Kunen and F. Tall. On the consistency of the non-existence of Baire irresolvables. http://www.at.yorku.ca/v/a/a/27.htm(1998), Topology Atlas.
- [19] K. Koratowski. Topology. Vol. I, Academic Press, 1966.
- [20] R. Levy, Almost-P-spaces. Canad. J. Math. 29:284-288, 1977.
- [21] V. I. Malykhin. The resolvability of the product of two spaces and a problem of Katětov. Soviet Math. Dokl. 16:725-729, 1975.
- [22] E. G. Pytke'ev. Maximally decomposable spaces. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 154:209–213, 1983.
- [23] S. Shelah. Baire irresolvable spaces and lifting for a layered ideal. *Topology Appl.* 33:217–221, 1989.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, CIUDAD UNI-VERSITARIA, D. F., 04510, MÉXICO

E-mail address: agmaynez@matem.unam.mx

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS (CAMPUS MORELIA), UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, APARTADO POSTAL 61-3, XANGARI, 58089, MORELIA, MICHOACÁN, MÉXICO *E-mail address:* sgarcia@matmor.unam.mx, michael@matmor.unam.mx