Klein-Gordon theory and the generalized S-matrix

Robert Oeckl

Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Morelia, Mexico

Seminar General Boundary Formulation 22 August 2018

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ ヨ

Classical Theory

We consider a **real scalar field** theory in **Minkowski spacetime** with the action

$$S_M(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \left((\partial_\mu \phi) \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^2 \right).$$

The equations of motion are given by the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(\Box + m^2)\phi = 0.$$

We take the **spaces of solutions** associated to **hypersurfaces** and **regions** to be vector spaces of real valued functions:

•
$$L_{\Sigma} := \{ \phi : \hat{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{R} \}$$
 ($\hat{\Sigma}$ is a thickening of Σ)

•
$$L_M := \{ \phi : M \to \mathbb{R} \}$$

Standard geometry - spacelike hypersurplanes (I)

Consider **constant-time hypersurfaces** and **time-interval regions** as in the **standard formulation**.



Consider an **constant-time hypersurface** at time t. Expanding in **Fourier modes**, elements of L_t are conveniently parametrized in terms of functions on **momentum space**,

$$\phi(t,x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \left(\phi(k) e^{-\mathrm{i}(Et-kx)} + \overline{\phi(k)} e^{\mathrm{i}(Et-kx)} \right) dt$$

Standard geometry – spacelike hyperplanes (II)

The Lagrangian gives rise to the symplectic form,

$$\begin{split} \omega_t(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 x \, \left(\phi_2(t, x) \partial_0 \phi_1(t, x) - \phi_1(t, x) \partial_0 \phi_2(t, x) \right) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \left(\phi_2(k) \overline{\phi_1(k)} - \phi_1(k) \overline{\phi_2(k)} \right). \end{split}$$

The standard complex structure is,

 $(J(\phi))(k) = -\mathrm{i}\phi(k).$

This yields the complex inner product,

$$\{\phi_1,\phi_2\}_t = 2\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E} \phi_1(k) \overline{\phi_2(k)}.$$

 L_t becomes the **one-particle Hilbert space**. \mathcal{H}_t is the space of **wave functions** or **Fock space** over L_t .

S-matrix

Usually, interacting QFT is described via the S-matrix:



Assume interaction is relevant only after the initial time t_1 and before the final time t_2 . The S-matrix is the asymptotic limit of the amplitude between free states at early and at late time:

$$\langle \psi_2 | S | \psi_1 \rangle = \lim_{\substack{t_1 \to -\infty \\ t_2 \to +\infty}} \langle \psi_2 | U_{\text{int}}[t_1, t_2] | \psi_1 \rangle$$

Standard geometry – scattering



Particles, i.e., elements of L_t , can be characterized by 3 **quantum numbers**: the components p_i of the **3-momentum**. Moreover, each particle is part of either the **in-state** or the **out-state**.

Standard geometry – scattering



Particles, i.e., elements of L_t , can be characterized by 3 **quantum numbers**: the components p_i of the **3-momentum**. Moreover, each particle is part of either the **in-state** or the **out-state**.

Denote $\psi(p_1, ..., p_n)$ the *n*-particle state with momenta $p_1, ..., p_n$ in \mathcal{H} . The **probability** to find outgoing particles with momenta $p'_1, ..., p'_m$ given incoming particles $p_1, ..., p_n$ is,

$$|\langle \psi(p'_1,\ldots,p'_m), U\psi(p_1,\ldots,p_n)\rangle|^2$$

Timelike Hyperplanes (I)

Consider hypersurfaces with constant x_1 coordinate and corresponding space-interval regions.



Parametrize solution near constant x_1 hypersurface,

$$\phi(t, x_1, \tilde{x}) = \int_{E^2 > \tilde{k}^2 + m^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}E \,\mathrm{d}^2 \tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2k_1} \left(\phi(E, \tilde{k}) e^{-\mathrm{i}(Et - \tilde{k}\tilde{x} - k_1 x_1)} + \overline{\phi(E, \tilde{k})} \, e^{\mathrm{i}(Et - \tilde{k}\tilde{x} - k_1 x_1)} \right)$$

where $\tilde{x} := (x_2, x_3), \tilde{k} := (k_2, k_3), k_1 := \sqrt{|E^2 - \tilde{k}^2 - m^2|}.$ Note that the sign of *E* can be negative.

Timelike Hyperplanes (I)

Consider hypersurfaces with constant x_1 coordinate and corresponding **space-interval regions**.



Parametrize solution near constant x_1 hypersurface,

$$\phi(t, x_1, \tilde{x}) = \int_{E^2 > \tilde{k}^2 + m^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}E \,\mathrm{d}^2 \tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2k_1} \left(\phi(E, \tilde{k}) e^{-\mathrm{i}(Et - \tilde{k}\tilde{x} - k_1 x_1)} + \overline{\phi(E, \tilde{k})} \, e^{\mathrm{i}(Et - \tilde{k}\tilde{x} - k_1 x_1)} \right)$$

where $\tilde{x} := (x_2, x_3)$, $\tilde{k} := (k_2, k_3)$, $k_1 := \sqrt{|E^2 - \tilde{k}^2 - m^2|}$. Note that the sign of *E* can be negative.

These are the **propagating waves**: $E^2 > \tilde{k}^2 + m^2$, oscillate in space

Timelike Hyperplanes (II)

There are also **evanescent waves**: $E^2 < \tilde{k}^2 + m^2$, exponential in space

$$\phi(t, x_1, \tilde{x}) = \int_{E^2 < \tilde{k}^2 + m^2} \frac{dE \, d^2 \tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2k_1} \left(\phi_+(E, \tilde{k}) e^{k_1 x_1} + \phi_-(E, \tilde{k}) e^{-k_1 x_1} \right) e^{i(Et - \tilde{k}\tilde{x})},$$

with $\phi_{\pm}(E, \tilde{k}) = \overline{\phi_{\pm}(-E, -\tilde{k})}.$

Timelike Hyperplanes (II)

There are also **evanescent waves**: $E^2 < \tilde{k}^2 + m^2$, exponential in space

$$\phi(t, x_1, \tilde{x}) = \int_{E^2 < \tilde{k}^2 + m^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}E \,\mathrm{d}^2 \tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2k_1} \left(\phi_+(E, \tilde{k}) e^{k_1 x_1} + \phi_-(E, \tilde{k}) e^{-k_1 x_1} \right) e^{\mathrm{i}(Et - \tilde{k}\tilde{x})},$$

with $\phi_{\pm}(E, \tilde{k}) = \phi_{\pm}(-E, -\tilde{k}).$

The space of solutions decomposes as $L_{x_1} = L_{x_1}^p \oplus L_{x_1}^e$. The space of states is a tensor product $\mathcal{H}_{x_1} = \mathcal{H}_{x_1}^p \otimes \mathcal{H}_{x_1}^e$.

Timelike Hyperplanes (III)

The construction of $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^p$ based on $L_{x_1}^p$ parallels the spacelike case. The Lagrangian gives rise to the **symplectic form**,

$$\begin{split} \omega_{x_1}(\phi_1,\phi_2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \left(\phi_2(t,x)\partial_{x_1}\phi_1(t,x) - \phi_1(t,x)\partial_{x_1}\phi_2(t,x)\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} E \, \mathrm{d}^2 \tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2k_1} \left(\phi_2(E,\tilde{k})\overline{\phi_1(E,\tilde{k})} - \phi_1(E,\tilde{k})\overline{\phi_2(E,\tilde{k})}\right). \end{split}$$

The standard complex structure is,

$$(J(\phi))(E,\tilde{k}) = -\mathrm{i}\phi(E,\tilde{k}).$$

This yields the complex inner product,

$$\{\phi_1,\phi_2\}_{x_1} = 2\int \frac{\mathrm{d}E\,\mathrm{d}^2\tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^3 2k_1}\,\phi_1(E,\tilde{k})\overline{\phi_2(E,\tilde{k})}.$$

Timelike Hyperplanes (IV)

Robert Oeckl (CCM-UNAM)

The construction of $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^{e}$ is an **open problem**.

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Timelike Hyperplanes (IV)

The construction of $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^{e}$ is an **open problem**.

A **vacuum** can be defined in $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^e$ [D. Colosi, RO 2007], but the existence and properties of particle states remain unclear.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Timelike Hyperplanes (IV)

The construction of $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^{e}$ is an **open problem**.

A **vacuum** can be defined in $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^e$ [D. Colosi, RO 2007], but the existence and properties of particle states remain unclear.

While a **complex structure** can be defined on $L_{x_1}^e$ [RO 2010], this does not seem to have the right physical properties.

イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

Timelike Hyperplanes (IV)

The construction of $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^{e}$ is an **open problem**.

A **vacuum** can be defined in $\mathcal{H}_{x_1}^e$ [D. Colosi, RO 2007], but the existence and properties of particle states remain unclear.

While a **complex structure** can be defined on $L_{x_1}^e$ [RO 2010], this does not seem to have the right physical properties.

...but recently, progress is being made [D. Colosi, RO], stay tuned!

イロン 不得 とくほう くほう 二日

Timelike Hypersurfaces - scattering



Particles can be characterized by 3 **quantum numbers**: the momenta k_2 , k_3 and the energy *E*. Recall that *E* may be negative. This yields the **same degrees of freedom** as in the spacelike case.

But, in contrast to the spacelike case there is no notion of **in-state** or **out-state**. Rather each particle in a multi-particle state might individually be either **in-going** or **out-going**. This is what the **sign of the energy** *E* encodes.

Timelike Hypersurfaces - probabilities

The boundary solution space decomposes as $L_{\partial M} = L_{x_1} \oplus L_{x'_1}$. The boundary Hilbert space decomposes as $\mathcal{H}_{\partial M} = \mathcal{H}_{x_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{x'_1}$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Robert Oeckl (CCM-UNAM)

Timelike Hypersurfaces - probabilities

The boundary solution space decomposes as $L_{\partial M} = L_{x_1} \oplus L_{x'_1}$. The boundary Hilbert space decomposes as $\mathcal{H}_{\partial M} = \mathcal{H}_{x_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{x'_1}$.

For each hypersurface there is also a decomposition into **in-going** and **out-going** particle modes. For the boundary as a whole, $L_{\partial M} = L_{\text{in}} \oplus L_{\text{out}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\partial M} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{in}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{out}}$.

イロト イピト イヨト 一日

Timelike Hypersurfaces – probabilities

The boundary solution space decomposes as $L_{\partial M} = L_{x_1} \oplus L_{x'_1}$. The boundary Hilbert space decomposes as $\mathcal{H}_{\partial M} = \mathcal{H}_{x_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{x'_1}$.

For each hypersurface there is also a decomposition into **in-going** and **out-going** particle modes. For the boundary as a whole, $L_{\partial M} = L_{\text{in}} \oplus L_{\text{out}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\partial M} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{in}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{out}}$.

Denote the density matrix in $\mathcal{B}_{\partial M}$ for *n* in-going particles with quantum numbers $(E_1, \tilde{k}_1) \dots, (E_n, \tilde{k}_n)$ and *m* out-going particles $(E'_1, \tilde{k}'_1) \dots, (E'_m, \tilde{k}'_m)$ by $\sigma((E_1, \tilde{k}_1) \dots; (E'_1, \tilde{k}'_1) \dots)$, with same in-going but indeterminate out-going particles by, $\sigma((E_1, \tilde{k}_1) \dots; *)$.

The probability for observing the out-going particles $(E'_1, \tilde{k}'_1) \dots$ given the in-going particles are $(E_1, \tilde{k}_1) \dots$ is,

$$\frac{A_M(\sigma((E_1,\tilde{k}_1)\ldots,(E_n,\tilde{k}_n);(E'_1,\tilde{k}'_1)\ldots,(E'_m,\tilde{k}'_m)))}{A_M(\sigma((E_1,\tilde{k}_1)\ldots,(E_n,\tilde{k}_n);*))} \xrightarrow{\sigma} = 0$$

Robert Oeckl (CCM-UNAM)

Klein-Gordon theory and the generalized S-m

2018-08-22 12 / 18

Timelike Hypercylinder (I)

Consider a **hypercylinder** given by a **sphere** of radius R in space, extended over all of time.

Parametrize **propagating** solutions ($E^2 > m^2$) near constant *R* hypersurface, (l = 0, 1, ..., m = -l, -l + 1, ..., l)



$$\phi(t, r, \Omega) = \int_{|E|>m} dE \frac{p}{4\pi} \sum_{l,m} \left(\phi_{l,m}(E) h_l(pr) e^{-iEt} Y_l^m(\Omega) + \overline{\phi_{l,m}(E)} \overline{h_l(pr)} e^{iEt} Y_l^{-m}(\Omega) \right).$$

Here Y_l^m denote the **spherical harmonics** and $p := \sqrt{|E^2 - m^2|}$. Also, $h_l = j_l + in_l$, where j_l and n_l are the **spherical Bessel functions** of the **first** and **second kind** respectively.

Timelike Hypercylinder (II)

H

 k_i

In the massive case m > 0, there are also **evanescent** solutions for $E^2 < m^2$, with exponential behaviour in space.

$$\phi(t, r, \Omega) = \int_{-m}^{m} dE \, \frac{p}{4\pi} e^{-iEt} \sum_{l,m} Y_{l}^{m}(\Omega) \left(\phi_{l,m}^{x}(E)k_{l}(pr) + \phi_{l,m}^{i}(E)\tilde{k}_{l}(pr) \right).$$
(1)
Here Y_{l}^{m} denote the **spherical harmonics** and $p := \sqrt{|E^{2} - m^{2}|}$. Also,
 $h(z) = -i^{l}\pi h_{l}(iz)/2$ and $\tilde{k}_{l}(z) = k_{l}(-z)$ are **modified spherical Bessel**
unctions that are real on \mathbb{R} .

Timelike Hypercylinder (II)

In the massive case m > 0, there are also **evanescent** solutions for $E^2 < m^2$, with exponential behaviour in space.

$$\phi(t, r, \Omega) = \int_{-m}^{m} dE \frac{p}{4\pi} e^{-iEt} \sum_{l,m} Y_{l}^{m}(\Omega) \left(\phi_{l,m}^{x}(E)k_{l}(pr) + \phi_{l,m}^{i}(E)\tilde{k}_{l}(pr)\right).$$
(1)
Here Y_{l}^{m} denote the **spherical harmonics** and $p := \sqrt{|E^{2} - m^{2}|}$. Also,
 $k_{l}(z) = -i^{l}\pi h_{l}(iz)/2$ and $\tilde{k}_{l}(z) = k_{l}(-z)$ are **modified spherical Bessel**
functions that are real on \mathbb{R} .

The space of solutions decomposes as $L_R = L_R^p \oplus L_R^e$. The space of states is a tensor product $\mathcal{H}_R = \mathcal{H}_R^p \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^e$.

Timelike Hypercylinder (III)

We restrict considerations to **propagating solutions**.

The Lagrangian gives rise to the symplectic form,

$$\omega_{R}(\phi,\xi) = \frac{R^{2}}{2} \int dt \, d\Omega \, \left(\xi(t,R,\Omega)\partial_{r}\phi(t,R,\Omega) - \phi(t,R,\Omega)\partial_{r}\xi(t,R,\Omega)\right)$$
$$= \int dE \frac{\mathrm{i}p}{8\pi} \sum_{l,m} \left(\phi_{l,m}(E)\overline{\xi_{l,m}(E)} - \overline{\phi_{l,m}(E)}\xi_{l,m}(E)\right).$$

The standard complex structure is,

$$(J(\phi))_{l,m}(E) = \mathrm{i}\phi_{l,m}(E).$$

This yields the **complex inner product**,

$$\{\phi,\xi\}_R = \int \mathrm{d}E \, \frac{p}{2\pi} \sum_{l,m} \overline{\phi_{l,m}(E)} \xi_{l,m}(E).$$

A B > A B >

Timelike Hypercylinder



To go beyond standard transition amplitudes, consider an example with a connected boundary. [RO 2005]

16/18

•
$$M = \mathbb{R} \times B_R^3$$

•
$$\partial M = \Sigma_R = \mathbb{R} \times S_R^2$$
.

(Consider propagating waves only.)

- The state space \mathcal{H}_{Σ_R} is again a **Fock space**.
- A particle can be characterized by three quantum numbers: energy *E* and angular momentum *l*, *m*.
- The sign of the energy determines if a particle is in-going or out-going. The state space decomposes as H_{Σ_R} = H_{in} ⊗ H_{out}.

Spatially asymptotic S-matrix (I)



Similarly, we can describe interacting QFT via a spatially asymptotic amplitude. Assume interaction is relevant only within a radius *R* from the origin in space (but at all times). Consider then the asymptotic limit of the amplitude of a free state on the hypercylinder when the radius goes to infinity:

$$S(\psi) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \rho_R(\psi)$$

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

[D. Colosi, RO 2007-2008]

2018-08-22 17 / 18

Spatially asymptotic S-matrix (II)

Results:

- The **perturbative description of interactions** works as in the standard path integral and S-matrix picture. Technically, the interactions are introduced via **sources**. In the hypercylinder geometry, this involves **evanescent modes** in an essential way, even if they vanish asymptotically.
- The S-matrices are equivalent when the interaction is confined in space and time. This equivalence is realized through an **isomorphism of** the asymptotic state spaces.
- In the standard formulation, **crossing symmetry** is an emergent feature of the S-matrix. In the hypercylinder setting of the GBF crossing symmetry is manifest.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (二)